ED 031 168

HE 001 046

By-Councils, James Steve

The Curriculum of the Board of Educational Development: Some Empirical Findings,

California Univ., Berkeley. Office of Institutional Research

Pub Date 14 Jul 69

Note-52p.

ERIC

EDRS Price MF-\$0,25 HC-\$2,70

Descriptors-Course Content, + Curriculum, Curriculum Development, + Experimental Curriculum, + Higher Education,

\*Innovation, \*Relevance (Education), \*utudent Role Identifiers-\*Board of Educational Development

Following recommendations in the 1966 report EDUCATION AT BERKELEY, the Board of Educational Development (BED) was created as the practical vehicle through which experimental curricular programming could be realized more rapidly. The purpose of this study was to examine systematically and empirically the BED curriculum-the student and faculty initiated courses sponsored by the Board. It was found that of the 36 courses given from Winter Quarter 1967 through Summer Quarter 1968, almost 60% were initiated by students. Wide variation in class size was noted. Broad social problems dominated the content of the BED curriculum with the emphasis favoring a theoretical orientation over specific application of theory. There were no significantly peculiar patterns of course enrollment size in terms of the 3 course initiator groups--the Center for Participant Education (a student group), faculty, and students. Neither class size nor course load (estimated in terms of unit credit per course) significantly affected grades. Higher grades were earned in student initiated courses. BED courses showed significantly higher grade point indices than comparable undergraduate courses across campus, but in terms of course initiators and broad academic fields, the grade point indices of BED courses were not significantly different. Appendices contain course descriptions and tables. (US)

### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

### Office of Institutional Research

THE CURRICULUM OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT:

SOME EMPIRICAL FINDINGS

bу

James Steve Counelis

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Berkeley, California
July 14, 1969

ERIC Full Track Provided by ERIC

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the competent services of Mrs. Alice Guy, computer programmer on the staff of this Office. Through her aid, a significant contribution was made. Also, I wish to acknowledge with thanks the constructive and critical reading of this report by Mr. Sidney Suslow, Director of this Office. Of course, the interpretation and errors rest with this writer.

James Steve Counelis

Office of Institutional Research, University of California, Berkeley, July 14, 1969



### A SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- 1. The 36 Board of Educational Development courses under study have significantly higher Grade Point Indices (GPI) than comparable undergraduate courses across campus.
- 2. The Grade Point Indices (GPI) for these Board of Educational Development courses are essentially independent of the factors of class size and course load, the latter defined in terms of units of credit earned per course.
- 3. In terms of course initiator groups and broad academic fields, the Grade Point Indices (GPI) of these Board of Educational Development courses are not significantly different, hence, grading is consistent within the BED curriculum.

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

# THE CURRICULUM OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT SOME EMPIRICAL FINDINGS

ру

James Steve Counelis

Ι

The Board of Educational Development is a product of the findings generated by the Berkeley Division's Academic Senate Select Committee on Education, headed by English Professor Charles Muscatine.

Education at Berkeley, the 1966 report of this committee, indicates that this Board was to become the practical vehicle through which experimental curricular programming would become possible more readily. The Board of Educational Development was created and began its work. The first courses mounted under its sponsorship were given in the Winter Quarter 1967.

The purpose of this study is to look systematically and empirically at the curriculum of the Board of Educational Development namely, the student and faculty initiated courses sponsored by the Board. In late 1968, a report prepared by the Office of Institutional Research was published on the grading of BED courses in comparison with other similar courses by field and level. The period under study was from Winter Quarter 1967 through Summer Quarter 1968. This OIR report suggested by comparative proportions that BED courses tended to have higher grade



point levels.<sup>2</sup> In response to this report, Professor John Kelly, then Chairman of the Board of Educational Development, suggested that comparability had not been attained because "Class size has a profound influence on grade distributions." In the following, these hypotheses will be more intensively explored.

II

The designed mission of the Board of Educational Development was to stimulate and promote experimentation in all sectors of the Berkeley campus; and to receive, encourage, and authorize experimental instructional proposals for which neither departmental nor college support was appropriate or feasible. In fulfilling this later function, the Board was empowered to initiate and administer such experimental programs pending their adoption by a department or other recognized faculty group. In fulfilling its charge, the Board encouraged students and faculty to submit course proposals. The ASUC Center for Participant Education and other ad hoc student groups submitted course proposals as well as did the faculty. Courses in jazz, the mediaeval monestary, social research methodology, honors mathematics, creative writing, literature of social protest, mysticism, community action, and social philosophy were among the interdisciplinary offerings during the first seven quarters of operation.

From the Winter Quarter 1967 through the Summer Quarter

ERIC

1968, some 36 courses were given. Chart No. 1 provides a detailed listing of these courses. The Center for Participant Education initiated seven courses or about 19 per cent of the original 36 BED courses. The faculty initiated about 42 per cent or 15 courses. Students other than those incolved in the ASUC's Center for Participant Education initiated 14 courses or about 40 per cent. If the student groups are pooled, they were found to be responsible for mounting almost 60 per cent of the original 36 BED courses. See Chart No. 1 (pp. 23-24) and a list of course content descriptions (pp. 16-22) in the Appendix.

These initial 36 BED courses were programmed in apparently consistant time pattern. Chart No. 2 (p.25) presents this pattern. It seems that the spring quarters tended to be more heavily programmed than other quarters. The reason for this calendrical regularity was not inquired into bacause it was not germane to this study's concerns. See Chart No. 2 (p.25) in the Appendix.

categories is presented in Chart No. 3. (p.26) with detailed supporting charts. At one end of the scale, one-third of the BED courses were in the 1-9 class size category, these courses servicing 8.1 per cent of the 803 students in the BED program for the time period under discussion. At the other end of the spectrum of class size, three courses (8.3%) serviced 34.4 per cent (284 students) of 803 students in the program. The BED courses had wide variation in class size, ranging from 1 to 123 students, indicating a flexible response in terms of course character and popular demand. See Chart No.3 (p.26) and supporting charts (pp.27-28) in the Appendix.

ERIC

Inasmuch as the classes mounted by the course initiators reflect broad fields of current curriculum concern, Chart No. 4 (p.29) catalogues these courses in terms of the initiators and the broad academic fields. By far, the courses with social sciences' content predominate within the BED curriculum while the professional fields are represented only by two courses in environmental design and these being broadly structured problem solving courses. The humanities are represented by both skills and substantive courses. Of the skills courses, French 4X and creative writing are found. The later category contains courses in music and literature biased by strong social interests.

"A scruting of the natural sciences"; courses reveals that mathematics dominates here. No courses in physics and chemistry are found; and only two courses in the biological sciences were given. Certainly a reading of the courses' descriptions provides the fair characterization that broad social problems dominate the content of the BED curriculum with the possible exception of courses in mathematics, sociological method, mysticism, creative writing and French 4X. See Chart No. 4 (p.29) in the Appendix.

One other curricular observation can be made. An analysis of the course descriptions suggests that theoretical courses (80.6%) dominated the BED curriculum. Practical courses, wherein the curricular emphasis was in training and applying intellectutal, social or phychomotor skills, constituted about 19 per cent or seven courses. The current emphasis of the courses mounted favors a theoretical orientation over the specific application of theory. Whether the university's traditional orientation toward curriculum biases the acceptance of courses in this particular manner has not been inquired into inasmuch as it was not the concern of

this study. But such an affective question is researchable; and the results would be of some interest to the Board. See Chart No. 5 (p.30) in the Appendix.

On the Berkeley campus, there are three grading practices associated with undergraduate courses. Letter grades, letter grades with the student declared option for a P/NP grade and the non-option P/NP grade constitute these practices. With reference to the BED curriculum, the frequency of use of these grading practices are categorized by course initiator group in Chart No. 6 (p.31) found in the Appendix. It occured to this writer that the possibility of choice of grading practice could be associated with particular course initiators. The null hypothesis that no association existed between grading practice and course initiator was posed. A chi square test of this hypothesis suggested that for the BED courses no association at the one per cent level existed. Hence, the null hypothesis was retained. See Chart No. 7 (p.32) in the Appendix for details of statistical computation.

Empirical data on the BED curriculum consists also "units of credit earned by students per course, the number of students per course, and the grade point index. This last measure, abbreviated GPI, is a weighted mean. Depending upon the logical set under discussion (In this paper, the logical sets are "the course" and "the course initiator group.") the GPI is calculated in the following manner:

$$GPI = \sum G_n P_n / N;$$

Gn: frequency of each letter grade, e.g., A's, B's, etc.;

P<sub>n</sub>: grade points (weights) for each letter grade;

N: total number of letter grades within the logical set  $(\sum G_n)$ .



The frequency for each letter grade  $\binom{G}{n}$  is multiplied by the appropriate grade point weight  $\binom{P}{n}$ ,  $^5$  these products added and the sum divided by the total number of letter grades in the set. An analysis of the GPI's given in Chart No. 6 (p 31) found in the Appendix, will come later. But first, a discussion of the units and students per course will be undertaken.

In terms of the average number of units earned, the student initiated courses with a mean 6 4 units per course led. CPE initiated courses came in second place with a mean of 4.1 units per course. Trailing faculty initiated courses had a mean of 3.8 units per course. See Chart No 6 in the Appendix. The null hypothesis that these means did not differ significantly from the overall means of 4.9 units per course was tested by Student t statistic. At the one per cent level, these means were found to differ. Thus there were no significantly peculiar patterns of course load in terms of the three given course initiator groups. See Chart No. 8 in the Appendix for data and computation results.

For the period under discussion (Winter Quarter 1967 through Summer Quarter 1968), 803 students took the initial 36 BED courses. CPE initiated course attracted the fewest students. Their number were 119 or about 15 per cent. Three hundred students, 37.4 per cent, were in the faculty initiated courses. And almost 48 per cent had enrolled in the student initiated courses, the number being 484 students. The mean for each of these initiator groups is: (1) CPE initiated courses: 17 students per course; (2) Faculty initiated courses: 20 students per course; (3)

Student initiated courses: 27.4 students per course. See Chart No. 6 (p.31) in the Appendix. When these means of the several course initiator groups are compared with the overall mean of 22.3 students per class, no difference was found at the one per cent level of significance. Thus, it can be surmised that there were no significantly peculiar patterns of course enrollment size in terms of three given course initiator groups. See Chart No. 9 (p.34) in the Appendix for data and computationed results.

It is a widely held notion that both class size and course load (expressed in terms of earned units of credit per course) are singnificant determinants of grades. These two factors were emprically tested. The hypotheses were stated as follows:

- (1) Mean GFT per course is affected by class size.
- (2) Mean GPI per course is affected by course load (expressed in units of credit per course).

A multiple regression analysis was undertaken. For all of the BED courses taken as one group and for each course initiator group taken separately, no significant effect was found to bear upon the GPI per course for either the factor of class size or the factor of course load in terms of unit credit per course. Given a randomly selected population of college students, the results might have been otherwise. However, Berkeley students are not randomly selected. Thus plausibly, the competence levels of the Berkeley students could preclude a finding of significant effect of these factors of class size and course load in terms of unit grade. This later hypothesis needs testing. Nonetheless,

it is reasonable to conclude that both class size and course load are independent factors in relation to GPI for this group of courses under study. See Chart No. 10 (p.35) in the Appendix for the detailed results.

Certain questions about the grading patterns of BED courses require resolution. These questions are:

- (1) What is the frequency distribution of grades for the BED curriculum?
- (2) Does the grading of BED courses differ significantly within the program in terms of course initiator groups and fields of study?
- (3) Does the grading of BED courses differ significantly from that of comparable courses across campus?

These questions will be resolved in the ensuing discussion on terms of descriptive presentation and the empirical testing of hypotheses.

Chart No. 11 (p.36) in the Appendix presents a frequency distribution of all grades earned by the 803 students in the BED curriculum under study. The GPI per each course initiator group and for all students in the BED curriculum were calculated. The order from highest to lowest CPE is as follows: (1) GPE course students: 3.53 grade points; (2) Students' initiated courses: 3.46 grade points; (3) Faculty courses': 3.28 grade points. This is the identical order of initiator groups found when the averages of the GPI per course for each course initiator group are compared See Chart No. 6 in the Appendix for these comparative figures. Hence as a group, student created courses within the BED program have generated



higher GPI's than faculty initiated courses. Whether this fact is a function of student participation in course construction is an open question at this time. It is a researchable question in which the Board will find some interest.

Does the pattern of passing and failing in BED courses differ significantly from that found across the whole campus? This question is resolved by an inspection of Chart No. 12 (p.37) in the Appendix. A comparison of proportions in passing and failing grades is made therein. The comparision indicates an almost identical pattern of pass/fail proportions for the BED courses and undergraduate courses across the campus. However, P/NP grading is more stringent in the BED curriculum than that found in other Berkeley undergraduate course. BED courses had five per cent fewer P grades than the undergraduate curriculum campus-wide. On the criterion of pass/fail, the BED course do not appear out of line in terms of total campus practice, though P grades appear to be more difficult to earn in the BED curriculum. The reason for this is not apparent on the basis of our data. However, it might be a function of both faculty and student inability to gauge a "minimum" base point for evaluating passing and not passing without some mode of scaling implied by letter grading practices.

With the differences in GPI's calculated for each initiator group on two bases, the following hypothesis is suggested for testing:

The GPI's for the three groups of course initiators do not differ significantly.

This null hypothesis was tested by a one-way analysis of variance study.



Having tested the normality of the GPI distribution and the homogeneity of variance by chi square test and Bartlett's test, respectively, the analysis of variance indicates that the means of the GPI per course initiator group did not differ significantly. Hence, the grading of BED courses by the faculty was consistant within the program. See Charts Nos. 13 and 13A-13E (pp. 38-43) in the Appendix for the data and the details of computation.

The further question as to whether there was any difference in grading within the BED curriculum in terms of knowledge fields needs exploration. The following null hypothesis was posed and tested:

The GPI's for the three academic field groups (natural sciences, social sciences and the humanities) do not differ significantly.

As before, this hypothesis was tested by a one-way analysis of variance procedure. Following the previous method, the results of this analysis of variance study was an acceptance of the null hypothesis. No statistically significant differences were found to exist among the mean GPI's for the three academic field groups of courses in the BED curriculum. Thus consistency in grading appears within the BED program across all the academic fields as well. See Charts Nos. 14 and 14A-14D (pp.44-48) in the Appendix for the datal and computational details.

The final question requiring solution is the comparability of grading of BED courses with all-campus practice. Since the system of plus and minus grades was introduced during the Summer Quarter 1967, the comparisons must be made for the period of Summer 1967 through Summer 1968.

This eliminated 14 BED courses taken during the Winter and Spring Quarters of 1967.

A Student's <u>t</u> test was applied to the GPI's for each group of courses. The GPI for the BED courses was 3.35 grade points. The GPI for the comparative undergraduate courses was 2.79 grade points. The difference of .56 grade points was found, statistically, to be significant at the one per cent level. Therefore, the overall BED grade levels were significantly higher than comparable undergraduate courses across campus. See Chart No. 15 (p.49) for the statistical details.

### III

This empirical study of the curriculum of the Board of Educational Development has found the following facts:

- (1) The 36 Board of Educational Development courses under study have significantly higher Grade Point Indices (GPI) than comparable undergraduate courses across campus.
- (2) The Grade Point Indices (GPI) for these Board of Educational Development courses are essentially independent of the factors of class size and course load, the latter being defind in terms of units of credit earned per course.
- (3) In terms of course initiators and broad academic fields, the Grade Point Indices (GPI) of the Board of Educational Development courses are not significantly different.

These results leave us with the problem of explaining the BED curriculum's higher grades. Two sets of hypotheses, requiring testing, come to mind. One set centers around the nature of the students in the BED curriculum. The other set of hypotheses has its focus upon the BED faculty. Both sets of hypotheses have in common the factor of the possible upward biasing of



GPI's through faculty and student autoselected participation in the BED curriculum. These hypotheses are:

### I. Faculty:

- A. The experimental character of the curriculum of the Board of Educational Development selectively attracts a type of faculty person whose habitual evaluation pattern results in higher grades.
- B. The experimental character of the curriculum of the Board of Educational Development effects the faculty members in such a manner as to bias upwardly their general grading pattern.

### II. Students:

- A. The experimental character of the curriculum of the Board of Educational Development selectively attracts a significantly more able student and thus resulting in significantly higher BED course grades.
- B. Personal involvment of student and teacher in the construction of courses motivates students in such a manner so as to induce them to earn higher grades than they normally would have earned in a regular course in a similar field in which they did not participate in the course construction.

These hypotheses can be investigated empirically. But these questions were not within the scope and data of this study. To assert as some are wont to do, that these hypotheses are logical and valid explanations for the higher grades in the BED program would be to do so without the warrant of evidence. Indeed, these would be purely assertive arguments based upon silence.

This writer suggest that the Board of Educational Development sponsor research into such affective curricular questions as these and other suggested hypotheses given in this paper. We have no science



on these matters at this time. Through such science, the planning of experimental change in curriculum over time can be done within the processual dynamics that make the university curriculum what it is.



### FOOTNOTES

The Academic Senate of the University of California, Berkeley, Education at Berkeley: Report of the Select Committee on Education (Berkeley, Cal.: The University of California Press, 1966). Ch. VII.

<sup>2</sup>Office of Institutional Research, <u>Analysis of Grading in CPE (sic) Courses</u> (Berkeley, Cal.: University of California, November 1968).

3Letter, John Kelley (Chairman, Board of Educational Development) to Sidney Suslow (Director, Office of Institutional Research), December 5, 1968.

Of the 36 courses given, five of them were given twice and one course was given three times. Hence, there were 27 different courses given.

The grade point weights are as follows: A+ and A, L; A-, 3.7; B+, 3.3; B, 3.0; B-, 2.7; C+, 2.3; C, 2.0; C-, 1.7; D+, 1.3; D, 1; D-, .7; F, no points; I, no points. P and NP grades are not counted into the logical set, hence the total set is always reduced by the number of P/NP grades.

Appendix

### THE BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM,

WINTER 1967 - SUMMER 1968

## CENTER FOR PARTICIPANT EDUCATION INITIATED COURSES:

Dramatic Arts 130X: 5 units
Studies in Avant Garde French Theater and Its Antecedents
Morgan Upton
Spring 1967

Readings and conferences on dramatic and critical theories of Artaud Jary, Ionesco, Genet, Camus, Sartre and others.

Mysticism: Theory and Practice
Benjamin Zablocki
Spring 1967

An exploration of the major theoretical and experimental trends in mysticism. The course will focus upon the nature of mystical experience through literature, philosophy, and psychology; and it will include an introduction to theory and method in modern and acient mystical practices.

Social Analysis 38X: 5 units Existentialism and Freedom Norman Jacobson Spring 1967

A course to investigate the life-problems imposed by freedom. Appropriate reading (Kierkegaard, Nietzsche, Freud, Dostoyevsky, Camus and Eickson) assignments will aid students to understand more fully the orgin and nature of the problems and to seek possible solutions.

Musical Arts 102X: 4 units Sociology of Rock and Roll James T. Carey Fall 1967

This course will trace influences of earlier musical forms and the sociological implications of mass culture in the evolution of rock and roll. It is designed to provide knowledge and insight into this music and the youth group which is its audience.



Nature Studies 136X: 5 units
The American Wilderness as Myth, Hope and Experience
James N. Anderson
Spring 1968

A course designed to study the development of wilderness impulse and idea in the United States. Both historical and current works in art, literature, sciences, exploration and government policy will be used to uncover the roots and chart the future of wilderness recreation and control.

Literature 136X: 3-5 units Writing Seminar Workshop F.C. Crews Spring 1968

A course to develop writing potential and to share in the teaching and criticism of the writing of other class members; to develop valid literary standards and an understanding of the elements of poetry, fiction and literary criticism.

### FACULTY INITIATED COURSES:

English 301: 3 units
Problems in the Instruction of Literature
Donald Friedman
Spring 1967

For graduate students preparing for a career in college teaching. Members of the course will serve as readers and discussion section leaders in an undergraduate course, and they must have completed satisfactorily a seminar, proseminar, or equivalent course in the subject matter covered by the undergraduate course. Weekly staff meetings with the instructor, preparation and evaluation of student exercises, and a term project report required.

Mathematics H2B-H2CX: 4 units Second Year Calculus Michael Schlessinger Spring 1967

An experimental tutorial course, to be taught concurrently with the traditional, larger course in Second Year Calculus, and covering essentially identical material.

Mathematics 113AX and Mathematics 134X: 2 units/course

Modern Algebra and Number Systems

Morris W. Hirsch

Spring 1967

Two experimental tutorials to prepare able freshmen for upper division work in calculus instead of deferring such work until the usual two year calculus sequence is completed. Course content is the same as that of Mathematics 113A and Mathematics 134.



Mathematics 191X: 2 units

Multivariate Analysis

Morris W. Hirsch

Spring 1967

A special tutorial program to broaden the background and mathematical experience of able upper division students, and to provide them with material which will increase the range of fundamental mathematical tool. available to them, and lead them to some fairly profound mathematical results, giving them a new perspective on the elementary mathematics of multivariate analysis.

French 4X: 6 units Intermediate French P.B. Augst Spring 1967

An experimental course designed to encourage students to continue the study of the French language begun in high school, rather than switch to a new language to satisfy the foreign language requirement. Enrollment open to freshmen with three years of high school French or transfer students with 12 or less units from another institution.

Sociology 191: 5 units

Practicum in Social Research

Shirley A. Star

Spring 1967

An experimental course intended to provide students with an opportunity to integrate and consolidate their formal knowledge of sociological theory and their formal training in research methods, through realistic participation in the research process. The focus of the course will be on actual research with the aim of making concrete what the student has encountered in the abstract.

Environmental Design 110: 3 units

Experimental Student-Run Tutorials

Christopher Alexander

Fall 1967 and Spring 1968

An experimental tutorial course, each tutorial to be led by a senior or graduate student and will concern itself with stating and answering some theoretical question or problem concerning the foundation of architecture.

Arts and Science 101X: 4 units Culture and the Individual M.M. Loeve and others
Winter 1968

Round table discussion seminar on cultural involvement. Visiting local faculty members will participate occasionally in the discussions.



Arts and Science 101AX and 102 BX: 6-8 units
The Mediaeval Monastery: Its Architecture, Economy and Life
Walter W. Horn and others
Winter and Spring 1968

A lecture-seminar course devoted to an analysis of the monastery as an architectural, religious, cultural, manorial, administrative, and technological institution. Seminar, 2 hours; study-group, 4 hours. Credit and grade to be assigned on completion of the full sequence. Prerequisites: Strong historical interests and a reading knowledge of one of three languages: French, German or Latin. Open to students of all disciplines.

Biology 2X: 2 units

<u>Developments in Evolutionary Thought</u>

H.V. Daly, V.M. Sarich, and V.M. Laetsch

Spring 1968

A seminar devoted to readings and discussions concerned with the current understanding of evolutionary mechanisms. Individual projects will emphasize the interrelationship of evolutionary phenomena and contemporary social and economic problems. Enrollment limited to 24 and preference given to Freshmen.

Religious Studies 136X: 5 units
Judaeo-Christian Studies and Their Relevance to Modern Man
Raymond J. Sontag
Spring 1968

A course designed to survey acient and modern Judaeo-Christian thought and philosophy and how it relates to the modern secular world. Father Joseph Drew, Newman Hall, Reverend Ralph Moellering and Professor David Winston of the Graduate Theological Union will participate in the class discussions.

Religious Studies 138X: 4 units Theory and Practice of Meditation Michael Nagler Spring 1968

An exposition of several key words in the Indian religious-phlosophical tradition supplemented by practice to techniques of Yogic meditation. This course will attempt to coordinate theory and practice of this discipline. Both aspects are normally considered essential by practitioners. Enrollment limited to 100.

Sociology 49X: 4 units
Sophomore Honors Seminar
J. Dizzard, R. Ofshe, R. Hansen and others
Spring 1968

Intensive reading and discussion of important sociological works, both theoretical and emprical. A course designed to give special opportunity to students who have done well in introductory sociology classes to continue with sociology in seminar form.



Mathematics 100X: 2-4 units
Tutorial Methods of Teaching and Learning
M.M. Loeve
Summer 1968

To study the process of teaching and learning calculus while serving as tutors in lower division calculus classes. Class meetings will be devoted to a careful analysis of what is happening in the tutorial sections, with discussion of related materials on both mathematics and education. Prerequisites: Mathematics H11C, H12A, or 104A and consent of the instructor.

### STUDENT INITIATED COURSES:

Literature 39X: 2 units
Literature and Cataclysm
J. Breslin
Winter 1967

A study of literature written during and after periods of social upheaval. Discussion will center around the theories and insights presented by selceted authors, including Hemingway, Joyce, Kafka, Mann, Iawrence, Mailer, Camus, and Sartre; and will emphasis the context of the times in which the books were written, the responses of the individual as well as society as a whole to fundamental changes in the social order.

Mathematics H2B-H2CX Mathematics 113AX & 134X Mathematics 191X

See all three courses described in the section on Faculty Initiated courses. It appears that student initiation of these courses occurred in the Winter 1967 Quarter.

Political Science 198X: 5 units
Experimental Studies in Politics-Modern Organizations
R. Biller
Summer 1967

An examination of how organizations can be more effective, adaptive and creative through collaboration among people of all levels.

Social Analysis 167X: 10 Units <u>Summer Residence College</u> A.C. English & Staff Summer 1967

Small-group studies of the historical, political, sociological, geographical, and cultural aspects and problems of the modern city.

Social Analysis 168X: 8 units Study of Poverty and Ghetto Life Lawrence E. Grossman Summer 1967

Analysis of the organization of the Black Ghetto, the roles of existing institutions, the culture engendered and of potential social planning solutions. Students will live in selected homes in the Oakland poverty area.

Social Analysis 136AX, BX, CX: 1-5 units Social and Behavioral Factors in College Commitment Robert Blauner Fall 1967 and Winter and Spring 1968

A critical evaluation of social and behavioral problems of academic achievement among minority students with major emphasis being placed on the Negro, Mexican-American, and American Indian and other low-income students. The team approach is used to provide the theoretical and empirical framework for discussion and application of basic principles.

Social Analysis 130X: 10 units Education of Deaf Mexican Children Ward E. Tabler Spring 1968

Field study course to develop a practical ability to teach and work with deaf children at the School of Deaf Children at Tijuana, Mexico. Students will live in local homes while tutoring and studying at the School, thus giving them an added opportunity to lear something of Mexican culture. An Amigos "68 Project."

Social Analysis 133X: 15 units
The Politics of Race Relations
Carl Werthman
Spring 1968

This course will study the structural, ideological, and social psychological dynamics of the political process surrounding the scheduled demonstration called by Dr. Martin Luther King, in Washington D.C. Students will conduct field research on the leadership of the demonstration, as well as the response of the local Negro population, Congress, the White House, the press and the police. The course will be held on the Berkeley campus during the first week of the spring quarter, and in Washington D.C. at the Institute for Policy Studies during the remainder of the spring quarter. Maximum enrollment: 40 students. Prerequisite: Consent of the instructor.



Social Analysis 134X: 12 units
Youth in the Changing Urban Community
Troy Duster
Summer 1968

A tutorial course, taken concurrently with participation in community organization, to be taught in the Mission District in San Francisco. Designed primarily for upper division students with community organizing and/or minority group experience, to bridge the gap between academic learning relative to problems of minority communities and minority status, values and experience. Prerequisite: Consent of Instructor.

Social Analysis 167X: 10 units <u>Summer Residence College</u> <u>John H. Schaar and Staff</u> <u>Summer 1968</u>

General theme of the College this year will be Modern Man and His Environment. As in Summer 1967, the purpose is to create a total learning environment for both faculty and students in order to enhance the personal commitment to the pursuit of teaching and learning. Instruction will be on a small group tutorial basis, students to meet in small groups once or twice weekly. Emphasis will be devided between formal group study with tutor, and individually directed research in an area of mutual interest to student and tutor. Each faculty member has outlined an intellectual topic and syllabus which will form the basis of his tutorial. Individual student research project will be confined to the broad area as outlined by his tutor.



# BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: A SUMMARY CHART.

GPI	3.73 4.00 4.00 4.00	3.09 3.09 3.77 3.08 3.77 3.08 4.00
COURSE	E E E E E E A	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
STUDENTS LET P/ GRA + NP = TOTAL	24 24 9 - 24 30 - 9 16 - 16 16 - 16	5 - 5 6 - 6 9 - 1 - 6 3 - 7 - 7 1 - 10 1 - 24 - 24 1 - 17 1 - 17 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 11 1 - 11
STIM	2 2 4 5 7	0,4499999999999999999999999999999999999
QUARTER	Sp 67 Sp 67 Sp 67 Sp 67 F 67 W 68	Sp 67 Sp 67 Sp 67 Sp 67 Sp 67 F 67 Sp 68 Sp 68 Sp 68 Sp 68 Sp 68 Sp 68
COURSES	CENTER FOR PARTICIPANT EDUCATION INITIATED COURSES:  1. Dramatic Arts 130X 2. Interature 36X 3. Social Analysis 38X 4. Social Analysis 138X 5. Musical Arts 102X 6. Nature Studies 136X 17. Literature 136X	FACULTY INITIATED COURSES:  8. English 301 9. Mathematics H2B-H2CX 10. Mathematics 1134X & 134X #11. Mathematics 191X #12. French 4X 13. Sociology 191 #14. Environmental Design 10X #15. Arts and Sciences 102AX & 102BX 16. Arts and Sciences 102AX & 102BX 16. Environmental Design 110X #17. Biology 2X #17. Biology 2X #18. Environmental Design 110X #19. Religious Studies 136X #20. Religious Studies 136X 21. Sociology 49X 22. Mathematics 100X



# STUDENT INTITATED COURSES:

€→	H	H	H	H	Н	Н	Н	H	д	Н	Н	Н	щ
				- 16									
m	9	30	15	16	47	6	23	H	Q	33	29	14	100
Q	4	Q	Q	5	10	Φ	1-5	1-5	10	15	1-5	검	10
79 W				S 67						Sp 68			
Literature 39X	Mathematics H2B-H2CX	Mathematics 113AX & 134X	Mathematics 191X	Political Science 198X	Social Analysis 167X	Social Analysis 168X	Social Analysis 136AX-BX-CX	Social Analysis 136AX-BX-CX	Social Analysis 130X	Social Analysis 133X	Social Analysis 136AX-BX-CX	Social Analysis 134X	Social Analysis 167X
23.	24.	25.	<b>56.</b>	27.	<b>*</b> 58 <b>.</b>	29.	င္တ	31.	ж Ж	33.	₹	35.	<b>*</b> 36 <b>.</b>

$55^{\dagger}$ Practical emphasis
rotal t P/NP

803

CHART NO. 2

BCARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, WINTER 1967 TO SUMMER 1968:

COURSE DISTRIBUTION BY INITIATORS AND QUARTERS

QUART]	ER & YEAR		••	TOTAL		
			CPE	FACULTY	STUDENTS	• •
on West of the second		;		• •		i i
Winter	1967		-	-	4	4
Spring	1967	<u>:</u> :	4	6	-	10
Summer	1967		-	-	3	3
Fall	1967		1,	. 1	ı	3
Winter	1968		1	ı	ı	3
Spring	1968		1	6	3	10
Summer	1968		-	1	. 2	3
		•				# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
TOTAL			7	15	14	36
			19.4%	41.7%	. 38.9%	100.0%
					•	
		9 <b>4</b>				
		¥			Ì	



CHART NO 3: BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM: COURSE AND STUDENT DISTRIBUTIONS BY CLASS SIZE CATEGORIES

CLASS SIZE CATEGORIES	COURS			OPMENT CURRICULUM STUDENTS		
	N	%	N	%		
1 <b>-</b> 9	12	33•3	65	8.1		
10 - 19	10	27.8	141	17.5		
20 - 29	7	19.4	174	21.7		
30 <b>-</b> 39	3	8.3	96	11.9		
140 - 49	1	2.9	43	5.4		
50 <b>†</b>	3	8.3	284	35.4		
			•			
TOTAL	36	100.0	803	100.0		

	OURSE	
	DISTRIBUTION OF COURSES BY COURSE	
CHART NO. 3A	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968:	INITIATORS AND CLASS SIZE

+	3					100.0%
E	TOTAL		15	4	36	
	50+	1	H	<b>-</b>	. m	8.3%
,	64-54	ı	1	•	•	2.8%
	††-0†₁	ı	П	<b>I</b>	7	તં
	35-39	1	ı		1	8.3%
	30-34	П	1	. a	က	80
	25-29	٦	1	Н	. α	19.4%
	20-24	Н	8	, <b>1</b>	2	ří
	15-19	Н	Ø	Q	5	27.8%
	10-14	•	က	۵	5	27.
	5-9	α	†	N	. ω	
	1-4	Н	Н	2	<b>†</b>	33.3%
COURSE	LNTTLATORS	Center for Participant Education	Faculty	Students	TOTAL	PROPORTIONAL DISTRIBUTION

27

BOARD OF	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM,	NAL DEVE	COPMENT C	URRICULU		3B 67 TC LASS	SIZE	ľ	ISTRIBUT	ION OF ST	DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS BY COURSE	Y COURSE
COITRAR		·	NUM	NUMBER OF S	STUDENTS	PER CLASS	SIZE CATEGORY	NTEGORY				H V E C E
INITIATORS	₹ - 0	5 - 9	10 - 11	15 - 19	20-2 <sup>1</sup>	25-29	30-34	35-39	<b>ተተ-</b> 0ተ	64-54	50†	TOTAL
Center for Participant Education	Н	16		16	7₹	59	33	ı				119
Faculty	m	25	33	36	69		4	ı	743	ı	- 16	300
Students	5	15	25	31	23	59	63	, <b>,</b>			193	184
TOTAL	6	56	58	83	911	58	96		43		284	803
PROPORTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	8.1%	<i>6</i> -	71	17.5%	[2]	21.7%	12.0	o. `		5.3%	35.4%	100.0%

ERIC Full fast Provided by ERIC

CHART NO. 4

BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: COURSES DISTRIBUTED BY BROAD FIELDS AND BY THE INITIATORS OF THE COURSES

1	Seminari 1881 — Militaria I		· •	The state of the second second section of the second section of the second section sec	the control of the co
:			COURSES BY	INITIATORS	
	FIELDS	CPE	Faculty	<b>Stu</b> dents	TATOT
	Natural Sciences	1	, 5	3	9 (25.0%)
•	Social Sciences	2	7+	10	16 (44.4%)
	The Humanities	 4	4	1,	9 (25.0%)
	Professional	-	2	-	2 (5.6%)
	TOTAL	7 (19.4%)	15 (41.7%)	14 (38.9%)	36 (100.0%)

ERIC Pfull Text Provided by ERIC

### CHART NO. 5

BOARD OF EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: COURSES DISTRIBUTED BY CONTENT TYPE AND BY THE INITIATORS OF THE COURSES

COURSE INITIATORS	1	PREDOMINANT CO	ONTENT
BBOT No. 1 - Mark Fallows State along the State of State	Theoretical	Practical	TOTAL
Center for Partici- pant Education	6	] 1	7
Faculty	11	14	15
Students	12	. 2	14
,			
Millional production of the first that the second of the s			en e
TOTAL	29 (80.6%)	7 (19.4%)	36 (100.0%)
			•



CHART NO. 6  BOARD OF EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: EMPIRICAL VARIABLES	NUM	Letter P/NP Letter Grade 1 TOTAL Mean Number Mean Number Mean GPI / Course Ini- Only Only Only P/NP Units/Course Students / Course tiator Group	6	8 4 3 3.8 20.0 3.44 3.28	122 14 6.4 27.4 3.50 3.46	26 5 36 4.9 22.3 3.52 3.43
BOARD	COHATHETAT DECITOR	GROUPS	Center for Partici- pant Education	Faculty	Students	ALL GROUPS

CHART NO. 7

BOARD OF EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: TEST OF CORRELATION BETWEEN GRADING PRACTICES AND COURSE INITIATORS

	GRADING PRACTICES	<b>**</b> **********************************	COURSE	INITIATORS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL
		CPE † S	STUDENTS	FACULTY		
⊕ Ady ata ti encountre	• •			,		11 W
Į	Letter Grades / Letter Grades † P/Np	:	20	11		31
	waters to the same of		# 100 mm   10			
H Dan Communication of the Com	P/Np Only		1	4		5
` <b>6</b> 0 M					:	
	TOTAL		21	15 .	•	36

chi square = 3.8631

H < chi square = 6.635 (1 df, .01)

ERIC Founded by FRIC

CHART NO. 8 BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM: STUDENT: t

· ·	COURSE INITIATOR GROUPS			
	CPE	FACULTY	STUDENT	ALL GROUPS
Number of courses	7	15	14	36
Sum of Units (\(\sum_X\)	29	57	89	175
(∑ x)²	841	3249	7921	30,625
≥ x²	133	247	813	1,193
Mean	4.1	3.8	6.4	4.9

(CPE) 
$$t = 1.4459$$
 (to = 3.608, 6 df., .01)  
(FAC)  $t = 2.8909$  (to = 2.936, 14 df., 01)  
(STU)  $t = 1.2870$  (to = 2.969, 13 df., .01)

STUDENT 😉 CHART NO. 9 BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM:
COMPUTATION CHART --- STUDENTS/COURSE

The state of the s		UPS		
	CPE	FACULTY	STUDENT	ALL GROUPS
Number of course	7	15	14	<b>3</b> 6
Sum of Students (∑X)	119	300	384	803
(≥ x)²	14,161	90,000	147,456	644,809
<u>S</u> x <sup>2</sup>	2,893	12,906	24,316	40,115
Mean	17.0	20.0	27.4	22.3
				:
			•	1
				A.

(CPE)t = 1.1645 ( $^{t}$ o - 3.608, 6 df., .01) (FAC)t = .4011 ( $^{t}$ c = 2.936,14 df., .01) (STU)t = .5862 ( $^{t}$ o = 2.969, 13 df., .01)

CHART NO. 10

## BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968; MULTIPLE REGRESSION STUDY

Independent, Variables Regression Equation Coefficance Standard  Coefficient Level Gression  Coefficient Level Gression  Cients	Units/Course $(x_1)$ ; $x = 3.92066x032x032$ $x = .012$ Students / $x = 3.92066x032x017$ $x = .012$ $x = .012$ $x = .012$ $x = .012$	$x_1$ ; $x_2$ $x_3 = 4.23153x020x2 .323 .263 x_2 = .023$	$x_1 : x_2 = x_3 = 3.70030x_10003x_3 .34$ $x_2 : x_3 = .0045$	$x_1$ ; $x_2$ $x_3=3.71031x_1002x_2$ .111 .319 $x_2$ : $x_3=3.026$
Variable va	GPI/Course Stu	х 3	x 3 X	x,
of Dobser-Vations	9	<b>4</b>	<b>†</b>	31
COURSE INITIATOR GROUPS	Center for Partici- pant Education	Faculty	Students	All Initiator Groups

	ag objective to	GPI/	GROOF.	3.53	3.28	3.46	3.43	
*	LION OF	E	TOTAL	119	300	384	803	:
	DISTRIBUTION		NP	2 ha 2 a 4 a 4 a - 1 a -	98	N	82	
•			<u></u>	32	139		221	
;	FREQUENCY	<del></del>	<b>-</b> н	I	4	• 7	58	, ,
	FREG		F4	ı	н	1	<u>-</u>	-
	1968:		Д	ı		The second control of		
	MER 1		<b>.</b>	1	-	m	<u> </u>	
	SUMMER	GRADES	<u>ت</u> د	l	m	<u> </u>	JO	
0. 11	OL 19	GRA	<del>5</del>	A company of the property of the company of the com	Q .	<b>m</b>		
CHART NO.	WINTER 1967	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<b>–</b>	2	<b>4</b> ·	17	
E	WINT		<u>д</u>	31	19	27	. 22	
	TLUM,	<u>.</u>	##	Φ	0	36	53	
	RRICU		A-	0	17		91	
	NT CU		₫ ::	38	<i>L</i> 9	163	568	
	DEVELOPMENT CU OF INITIATORS	Numbe <b>r</b> of	Contract	2	15	77	36	الأخوينة إستدوني
	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, GRADES AND GPI/GROUP OF INITIATORS	COURSE INITIATOR	STOORS AND A STOOR	Center for Participant Education	Faculty	Students	All Groups	

CHART NO. 12
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM AND LOWER DIVISION AND UPPER DIVISION COURSES, WINTER 1967 TO SULMER

967 to sumer	TOTAL	119	14.8	300	37.4	384	1,7.8	803	0.001	316,148	100.0
UPPER DIVISION COURSES, WINTER 1967 TO SUAMER GRADES	TOTAL	35	100.0	165	100.0	52	100.0	642	0.001	39,715	100.0
R DIVISION COU	NP	1	-	26	15.8	2	3.8	28	11.2	2,594	6.5
AND I OF	Ą	32	100.0	139	84.2	50	96.2	221	88.8	37,121	93.5
CHARI LOWEF IONAL	TOTAL	87	0.001	135	100.0	332	100.0	554	100.0	302,197	100.0
CURRICU 1968:	T +	1	1	12	8.9	Lт.	5.1	. 63	5.2	16,047	5.3
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	A thru D	87	100.0	123	91.1	315	6.46	525	8,46	286,150	<i>1</i> •η6
EDUCA!		N	160	N	6	N	P	N	₽0	N	6
BOARD OF 1	COURSE GROUPS	acto	d 1	FACULTY		STUDENTS			TOTAL	LOWER & UPPER	DIVISION

CHART NO. 13B

BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, WINTER, 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: ONE WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE STUDY OF COURSES BY INITIATOR GROUPS

	ř	INITIATOR GROUP	?\$ ^	•}
Statistics	CPE	FACULTY	STUDENTS	All Fields
N	6	11	14	31
<b>≥</b> x	22.36	3 <b>7.</b> 79	49.06	109.21
( <b>E</b> X) <sup>2</sup>	499•97	1428.08	2406.88	1+334 • 93
∑x²	84.05	132.57	173.80	390.42
Mean	3•73	. 3.44	3.50	3.52
2 s	.121	.250	.134	.183
s	.348	.500	.366	.428

The second secon	ONE WAY A	F Test			
	Sum of Squares	đf	Mean Square	F (2,28)	Sig. Level
Category Means	.3380	2	.169	.884	Not Sig.
Within Categories	5•3490	28	.191	-	at .01
Total	5.6870	30	;		

ART NO. 13A 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: TESTING THE NORMALITY OF DISTRIBUTION - ALL INITIATOR GROUPS	<b>&gt;</b> 1	.4887 .3665 3888 4998	= 8.8184 (2 df) = 9.210 (2 df, . 01)
68: TESTIN	AREA E EACH TEGO		•
O. 13A TO SUMMER 196 L INITIATOR C	AREA UNDER NORMAL CUR O Z		f)
CHART NO SULUM, SPRING 1967 SURSE VARIABLE - ALI	OR CAT	2.2780 1.1098 -1.2266 -2.3949 -3.5631	square = 8.8184 square = 9.210 (
ELOPMENT CURRICULUM,	CATEGORY BOUNDARIES (X)	4.495 3.995 3.495 2.495 1.995	chi H <chi< td=""></chi<>
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES	4.00-4.49 3.50-3.99 2.50-2.99 2 00-2.49	<u>X</u> = 3.52

A CONTRACT STREET, AND THE STR		Ob- served	m a l l	
to have good as some a sec M	FREQUENCIES	the- oreti- cal	1 m a 1 6	.348
	<b>H</b>	Calcu- lated	1. 8. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	11 12
	ARFA FOR	EACH CA- GORY		. ,
	AREA UNDER NORMAT, CHRVE	0z		.833 (1 df)
יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי		Z FOR CATEGORY BOUNDARIES x-x s	2.1983 -7615 -3.5489	chi square = $\mu$
HOLOOO /T ID		CATEGORY BOUNDARIES (X)	4.495 3.995 2.995	=
		GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES	4.00 - 4.49 3.50 - 3.99 3.00 - 3.40 2.50 - 2.99	$\overline{X} = 3.73$

H < chi square = 6.635 (1 df, .01

	٠
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: TESTING THE NORMALITY OF DISTRIBUTION OF	(FP) /COURSE VARIABLE - PACCULT TINITIAN GIVES

CHART NO. 13C

6		Ob- served	0	1	4	#	-	1	٦	11			
		The- oreti-  cal	,		4	4	-	α 		11	a des dissolvantes dell'an spirito d'institution s'institution d'institution d'institu	, communication and account	
		calcu- lated	ر ا ا		3.5	3.9		1.7	m.	1 (1851 - 1851 - 1871 -	Martingari - 4 sangan digipak i 4 dalah dalah Baragapa 6 sangan digipak digipak di dalah d		ribilizaren -
ALCOL.	AREA FOR		1182	COTT.	.3205	.3571		.1573	.0275		· m · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>SANGERGE STATE</b> of the SA	
PACOLII INLIALON GNOOL	AREA UNDER	NOKWAL CURVE,	.4826	.3643	8540		3133	- 4706	-	1864			مد مدانات م
GP1/COURSE VARIABLE - FAC		Z FOR CATEGORY BOUNDARIES  ** - **  S	2.1100	1.1100	0011		8900	8900		-2.8900	•		
CPI/CC		CATEGORY BOUNDARIES (x)	4.495	3.995	3 1.05		2.995	y 1195		1.995			
		GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES		-	3.50 - 3.99	3.00 - 3.49		2.50 - 2.99	2.00 - 2.49				

 $\bar{x} = 3.44$ 

chi square = 3.000 (2 df)

H < chi square = 9.210 (2 df, .01)

s = .500

,		42	<del></del>
JTION OF	Ob- served	2 4 5 6 7 14 1	-
OF DISTRI	FREQUENCIES  u- The- d oreti-	1	. <b>.9</b>
VORMALITY	FREQ Calcu-	1.2	s = 396
: TESTING THE NORMALITY OF DISTRIBUTION GROUP	AREA FOR EACH CA- TEGORY	.0851 .4102 .0808 .0029	
CHART NO. 13D SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: ARIABLE - STUDENT INITATOR	AREA UNDER NORMAL CURVE, 0Z	.4966 .4115 .4162 -4970 -4999	6.666 (2 df) 210 (2 df, . ol)
	EGORY Ees:	2.7186 1.3525 -1.3798 -4.1120	chi square = 6.66 H < chi square = 9.210
ELOPMENT CURRIC GPI/CO	CATEGORY BOUNDARIES	4.495 3.995 2.995 2.495 1.995	• # O
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM,	GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES	4.00 - 4.49 3.50 - 3.99 2.50 - 2.99 2.00 - 2.49	x = 3.50

-ERIC

	andrie disconnistration or service and service description of the service of the		CHART NO. 13E	3		
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM,	ELOPMENT CURRIC		SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968 OF COURSE-INITIATOR GROUPS		BARTLETT'S TEST OF HOMOGENEITY OF	EITY OF
COURSE INITIATOR GROUPS	SUM OF SQS. (f s 2)	$(\mathbf{f_i})$	MEAN SQUARES (s, 2)	LOG s, 2	f, LOG s, 2	RECIPROCALS (1/f <sub>1</sub> )
Center for Partici- pant Education	, 0 <del>1</del> /98 <b>.</b>	9	.121	-1.08279	t/96tr9 -	.1667
Faculty	2.7500	11	.250	-1.3979 <sup>4</sup>	-15.37734	6060•
Students	1.8760	17†	.134	-1.12710	-15.77940	ψ <b>1</b> 20°
C√) H	5.3520	31			-37.65348	.3290
Ια	$^{2} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{s_{i}}^{2} / \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{f_{i}}$	11	.1726 (Log .17	.1726 = -1.23704)		
(2 f,) LOG	z = -38.3482		a			
	_		ı	λf, LOG s i		
	166C•T = 14					
ວ	= 1 + 1/3 (a)	-1)	(\(\gamma\) 1/f; - 1,	$1/\sum_{j}$		
ບ	= 2.6726					
chi square = M/C =	.5985		H < chi	square 🗢 9.210	(2 df, .01)	
				•		

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

CHART NO. 14

BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, WINTER 1967 TO SUMMER 1968:
ONE WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE STUDY OF COURSES IN THREE BROAD FIELDS

The state of the s		FIELDS		
Statistics	Natural Sciences	Social Sciences	The Humanities	All Fields
N	9	15	6	30
2 X	32.55	51.93	21.44	105.92
(乏X)	1059.50	2696.72	459.67	4215.90
2 X2	119.15	183.02	77.44	379.61
Mean	3.62	3.46	3.57	3.53
2 s	.178	.31	.166	.195
s	.422	.481	.407	.441

	ONE WAY A	ANALYSIS OF		The state of the s	and the second s
			en adding paramet do to the design of the second se	, F Te	st
	Sum of Squares	, df	Mean Square	F (2,27)	Sig Level
Category Means	.0790	2	.0395	.1917	Not Sig.
Within Categories	5.5628	27	.2060		at .01 :Level.
Total	5 <b>.</b> 6418	29			

	, 영
CHART NO. 14A	CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: TESTING THE NORMALITY OF DISTRIBUTION OF GPI/ COURSE VARIABLE - NATURAL SCIENCES GROUP
	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 GPI/ COURSE VARIABLE - D

ERIC Full fact Provided by EMC

	Ob- served	<b>,</b>	<b>M</b>	m 	a	Н	1	6			
 FREQUENCIES	The- ore- tical		Н	4	m	Н	4	6			
 FRE	cal- cu- lated		1.5	3.8	2.8	9.	.03				
 AREA FOR			.1702	7424.	.3165	9590.	.0037				
 AREA UNDER	Z - 0	90817	3106	רקרר	74TT	00Ct.	6664				
 Z FOR CATEGORY	X - X	2.0734	9888.	5195		-2.6658	-3.8507		•		
 CATEGORY BOUNDARTES	(X)	4.495	3.995	3,495	2.995	2,495	1.995			<b>.</b>	 •
 - ,			garant val Abertaja G	, der tijs, mei 14 desem		10-1009 A C			n e ell di progra aponere	16 16 16	,
GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES			64.4	- 50 -	3.00 - 3.49	2.50 - 2.99	2.00 - 2.49			•	

 $\overline{X} = 3.62$ 

**chi** square = 4.5833 (2 df)

254° ≈ s

H < chi square = 9.210 (2 df, .01)

BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: TESTING THE NORMALITY OF DISTRIBUTION OF GPI/COURSE VARIABLE - SOCIAL SCIENCES GROUP CHART NO. 14B

		Ob- served	m	7	ľ	m	]	ma to agreement to de	15				
4	FREQUENCIES	The- ore- tical	a	5	2	ณ	H		15			,	
	H	cal-	1.8	9.4	5.4	2.2	<b></b>	•	4,2005	√aga de s	• · w · <del>i · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>		
	AREA FOR	( , , x m·• •	7711.	.3086	.359 <sup>4</sup>	.1458	4120.	ngo kay - sakhhir					
	AREA UNDER	NORMAL CURVE,	. 4842	.3665	•0219	3315	4773	£86ħ•-	er-a is subset VI				
		Z FOR CATEGORY BOUNDARIES X - X s	2.1517	1.1122	.0727	-,9567	-2.0062	-3.0451			•		
**************************************	e de la companya de companya de la c	CATEGORY BOUNDARIES	4.495	3.995	3.395	2.995	2.495	1.995		•			
and the second s		GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES	6ħ•ħ - 00•ħ	3.50 - 3.99	8	000		64.3		a guides transmission			

 $\bar{x} = 3.46$ 

chi square = 2.200 (2 df)

s = .481

H < chi square = 9.210 (2 df, .01)

CHART NO. 14C
EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SPRING 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: TESTING THE NORMALITY OF DISTRIBUTION OF GPI/COURSE VARIABLE - THE HUMANITIES GROUP BOARD OF

ERIC Full flext Provided by ERIC

		Ob- served	H & G I 6	
•	FREQUENCIES	The- oreti- cal	H m a 1 0	
	FR	Calcu- lated	. u u	
	0 TRIDED	NORMAL CURVE, EACH CA*  O - Z TEGORY	.3503 .9503 .4222 .4207 .4959 .0752	(3 df)
The state of the s	-	Z FOR CATEGORY BOUNDARIES X - X S	727 24 25 112 2 1.	chi square = 0
		CATEGORY BOUNDARIES (X)	3.995 3.495 2.995 2.495	
		GPI/COURSE CATEGORIES	4.00 - 4.49 3.50 - 3.99 2.50 - 2.99	i

H chi square = 11.345 (3 df, . 01)

			CHART NO. 14D	0	de de la companya de	
BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM,	TELOPMENT CURRI		SPRING 1967 TO SUMME OF BROAD FIELD COURSE	R 1968: GROUPS	BARTLETT'S TEST OF HOMOGEMEITY OF	ETTY OF
BROAD FIELD COURSE GROUPS	SUM OF SQS. (f s )	DF (f)	MEAN SQUARES (s 2)	LOG S 2	f, Log s,	RECIPROCALS (1/f <sub>1</sub> )
Natural Sciences	1.6056	6	.1784	-1.25139	11.2617	נונו.
Social Sciences	3.4650	15	.2313	-1.36418	20,4615	9990*
The Humanities	• 9930	9	.1655	-1.21880	7.3128	9991.
a = 3	9.0636	30			39.0360	.3443
s = Zf	r, s, 2/2 f	= .2021	(103 .2021	= -1.30557)		
(2f) LOG s i	2 = -39.1650 (2.3026) (\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	.650 (	G = 2 - S ±	i LOG si		
W	=-,2970	•				
C = 1 + C = 5	+ 1/3 (a - 1) -1.4266	 (Z 1/f <sub>1</sub>	-1/f)			
	= .2081		H <sub>o</sub> < chi	square = 9.210	(2 df, .01)	
				•		

CHART NO. 15

BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CURRICULUM, SUMMER 1967 TO SUMMER 1968 AND LOWER DIVISION AND UPPER DIVISION COURSES, SUMMER 1967 TO SUMMER 1968: A COMPARISON OF LETTER GRADE DISTRIBUTIONS AND OF GPI'S

	BED COUR	SES	LOWER/UPPER D	IVISION COUP
LETTER GRADES	N	· %	N	%
A & A†	184	44.7	36,159	18.5
A-	76	18.4	19,262	9.9
B†	39	9.5	20,778	10.7
В	56	13.6	36,681	18.8
. B <b>-</b>	15	3.6	18,160	9•3
C†	5	1.2	14,443	7.4
C	<u>.</u>	1.0	23,546	12.1
C-	4	1.0	7,114	3.6
Dţ	-	; -	1,811	*
D	- 1	-	4,433	2.3
D <b>-</b>	-	-	. 990	*
F	l	; *	4,253	2.2
I .	28	6.8	7,310	3.7
* Less Than 1%				
TOTAL	412	100.0	194,940	100.0
GPI	3	.35	2	.79
t Test:	t= 10.9804	H <sub>o</sub> t = 2.	.3338 (400 df,	. 01)

